

Instructions. Answer as many questions as you can. Show all the steps of your work clearly.

Q1. Let \mathcal{T} denote the standard topology on \mathbb{R} , and let \mathcal{T}_l and \mathcal{T}_u denote the lower limit and upper limit topologies on \mathbb{R} . Recall that \mathcal{T}_l (resp. \mathcal{T}_u) has basis

$$\mathcal{B}_l = \{[a, b) \mid a, b \in \mathbb{R}, a < b\} \quad (\text{resp. } \mathcal{B}_u = \{(a, b] \mid a, b \in \mathbb{R}, a < b\})$$

We saw in class (homework) that \mathcal{T}_l is not comparable to \mathcal{T}_u , and that each are strictly finer than the standard topology on \mathbb{R} .

(a) Prove that $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{T}_l)$ is homeomorphic to $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{T}_u)$.

(b) Does there exist a homeomorphism from \mathbb{R} with the standard topology to $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{T}_l)$?

Hint: First prove that the standard topology can be defined using a basis consisting of countably many elements. Then think about the question again.

Q2. Let Ω denote the smallest uncountable ordinal, and give the set $S_\Omega \cup \{\Omega\}$ the order topology.

(a) Prove that Ω is a limit point of the set S_Ω .

(b) Prove that there is no sequence in S_Ω which converges to Ω in the order topology.

(c) Conclude that $S_\Omega \cup \{\Omega\}$ with the order topology is not a metrizable space.

Q3. Suppose that \mathbb{R} has the standard topology. Define the *box* and the *product* topologies on \mathbb{R}^ω . Now, consider the function $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\omega : t \mapsto (t, t^2, t^3, t^4, \dots)$.

(a) If \mathbb{R}^ω has the product topology, say whether or not f is continuous (give reasons for your answer).

(b) If \mathbb{R}^ω has the box topology, say whether or not f is continuous (give reasons for your answer).

Q4. Let $A \in \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$. Prove that the linear map $A : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ induces a homeomorphism of the quotient space $\widehat{A} : \mathbb{R}^2/\mathbb{Z}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2/\mathbb{Z}^2$.

Q5. (a) Let X and Y be topological spaces, and give $X \times Y$ and $Y \times X$ the product topologies. Is $X \times Y$ homeomorphic to $Y \times X$? Give a proof or counterexample.

(b) Let X and Y be totally ordered sets, and give $X \times Y$ and $Y \times X$ the corresponding lexicographical orders. Is $X \times Y$ in its order topology homeomorphic to $Y \times X$ in its order topology? Give a proof or counterexample.

SOLUTIONS — These will have more detail than you were expected to give on the exam.

1(a)

Consider $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : x \mapsto -x$

①

- f is a bijection since $f \circ f(x) = f(-x) = x \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}$
- $f: \mathbb{R}_e \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_u$ is continuous.

pf: Given $(a, b] \in \mathcal{B}_u$, $f^{-1}((a, b]) = [-b, -a) \in \mathcal{B}_e$

Given $U \in \mathcal{U}_u \Rightarrow U = \bigcup_{\alpha} B_{\alpha}$, $B_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{B}_u$

$$\Rightarrow f^{-1}(U) = f^{-1}\left(\bigcup_{\alpha} B_{\alpha}\right)$$

$$= \bigcup_{\alpha} f^{-1}(B_{\alpha})$$

$$= \bigcup_{\alpha} \text{elements of } \mathcal{B}_e$$

$$\in \mathcal{U}_e$$

$\Rightarrow f: \mathbb{R}_e \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_u$ is cts \square

- Likewise, $f^{-1}: \mathbb{R}_u \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_e$ is cts.

1(b)

Claim

$\mathcal{B}_1 = \{(a, b) \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Q}, a < b\}$
is a countable basis for standard top on \mathbb{R} $\rightarrow (*)$

PF

\mathcal{B}_1 elts are uniquely determined by $(a, b) \in \mathbb{Q}^2$ st. $a < b$.
From class notes \mathbb{Q} & \mathbb{Q}^2 countable &
subsets of countable sets are countable $\Rightarrow \mathcal{B}_1$ countable.

$\mathcal{B}_1 \subset \mathcal{B} = \{(a, b) \mid a, b \in \mathbb{R}, a < b\}$ usual basis for standard top

$\Rightarrow \boxed{\mathcal{B}_1\text{-top} \subseteq \text{standard top}}$ —(i)

conversely given $(a, b) \in \mathcal{B}$ and $x \in (a, b)$

Then $a < x$ & $x < b$.

From analysis class (\exists rational # between every pair of real #s) (2)

$$\exists r_1, r_2 \in \mathbb{Q} \text{ s.t. } a < r_1 < x \text{ and } x < r_2 < b.$$

$$\Rightarrow x \in (r_1, r_2) \subseteq (a, b) \Rightarrow \text{standard top} \subseteq \mathcal{B}_1\text{-top} \quad \text{---(ii)}$$

(i) & (ii) \Rightarrow standard top = \mathcal{B}_1 -top & so \mathcal{B}_1 is a countable basis for standard top on \mathbb{R} .

Claim $\not\cong$ homeomorphism $h: \mathbb{R}_{\text{standard}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_e$.

Pf Suppose to the contrary that $h: \mathbb{R}_{\text{stand}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_e$ is a homeomorphism.

Then $\mathcal{B}'_1 = \{h(B) \mid B \in \mathcal{B}_1\}$ is a countable basis for \mathbb{R}_e .

[Pf: $U \in \mathcal{Y}_e \Rightarrow h^{-1}(U)$ open in standard top ... since h is a homeomorphism
 $\Rightarrow h^{-1}(U) = \bigcup_{\alpha} B_{\alpha}$, $B_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{B}_1$.

$$\Rightarrow U = h(h^{-1}(U)) = h\left(\bigcup_{\alpha} B_{\alpha}\right) = \bigcup_{\alpha} h(B_{\alpha})$$

is a union of elements of \mathcal{B}'_1]

Given $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $[x, x+1)$ is open in $\mathbb{R}_e \Rightarrow \exists B_x \in \mathcal{B}'_1$ s.t.

$$x \in B_x \subseteq [x, x+1)$$

$$\Rightarrow \inf(B_x) = \inf([x, x+1)) = x.$$

This implies, $x \neq y \Rightarrow B_x \neq B_y$ (since infs are distinct)

& so we have an injection: $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}'_1$
 $x \mapsto B_x \Rightarrow \Leftarrow$

Q2

(a) We know $\left[\begin{array}{l} \bullet S_{\Omega} \text{ is uncountable} \\ \bullet x \in S_{\Omega} \Rightarrow S_x \text{ is countable.} \end{array} \right.$

Since $S_{\Omega} \cup \{\Omega\}$ has least element 1 & greatest elt Ω the order topology basis elements are of 3 types:

- (1) $[1, x)$ some $x \in (S_{\Omega} \cup \{\Omega\}) - \{1\}$
- (2) (x, y) some $x, y \in S_{\Omega} \cup \{\Omega\}$
- (3) $(x, \Omega]$ some $x \in (S_{\Omega} \cup \{\Omega\}) - \{\Omega\} = S_{\Omega}$.

$\Omega \notin$ sets of type (1) or (2).

Given any open nbd U of Ω , $\exists x \in S_{\Omega}$ s.t.

$$\Omega \in (x, \Omega] \subseteq U.$$

$$x \in S_{\Omega} \Rightarrow x < \Omega$$

$$\Rightarrow x+1 \leq \Omega$$

where $x+1$ is the successor of x .

$$\text{But } S_{x+1} = S_x \cup \{x\} = \text{union of countable + finite set} \\ = \text{countable.}$$

$$\Rightarrow x+1 \neq \Omega \quad \Rightarrow x+1 < \Omega, \\ \Rightarrow x+1 \in S_{\Omega}$$

$$\therefore U \cap S_{\Omega} \supset (x, \Omega] \cap S_{\Omega} \ni x+1$$

$$\& \text{ so } U \cap S_{\Omega} \neq \emptyset$$

$$\Rightarrow \Omega \in \overline{S_{\Omega}}. \quad \text{Note: since } \Omega \notin S_{\Omega} \\ \text{then } \Omega \in S_{\Omega}'.$$

2(b) Suppose $\{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ is a sequence in S_Ω . (4)

$\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}_+, a_n \in S_\Omega \Rightarrow S_{a_n}$ countable

$\Rightarrow S_{a_n} \cup \{a_n\}$ countable (countable + singleton)

$\Rightarrow \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+} S_{a_n} \cup \{a_n\}$ countable (countable union of countable)

But S_Ω uncountable $\Rightarrow \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+} S_{a_n} \cup \{a_n\} \subsetneq S_\Omega$

By construction, $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+} S_{a_n} \cup \{a_n\}$ is an order ideal in S_Ω .

Thm from class $\Rightarrow \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+} S_{a_n} \cup \{a_n\} = (S_\Omega)_b$ for some $b \in S_\Omega$

$\Rightarrow a_n < b \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$

$\Rightarrow (b, \Omega]$ is open nbd of Ω which does not contain any a_n .

$\Rightarrow a_n \not\rightarrow \Omega$.

2(c) If $S_\Omega \cup \{\Omega\}$ were metrizable (induced by metric d), and since $\Omega \in S_\Omega$ (by 2(a)) we could pick

$$a_n \in S_\Omega \cap B_d(\Omega, \frac{1}{n}) \neq \emptyset$$

and argue that $\{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ is a sequence in S_Ω converging to Ω . This would contradict 2(b).

Q3
Basis for box topology

$$\mathcal{B}_{\text{box}} = \left\{ \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (a_n, b_n) \mid a_n, b_n \in \mathbb{R}, a_n < b_n \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \right\}$$

Basis for product topology

$$\mathcal{B}_{\text{prod}} = \left\{ \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} U_n \mid U_n = \mathbb{R} \text{ for all but finitely many } n \in \mathbb{Z}_+, \right. \\ \left. U_n = (a_n, b_n), a_n < b_n \in \mathbb{R} \text{ for remaining indices } \right\}.$$

(a) $f_n: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : t \mapsto t^n$ is continuous (Analysis class)

$\Rightarrow \text{Pr}_n \circ f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is cts $\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$

$\Rightarrow f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{Z}_+}_{\text{prod}}$ is cts (by thm from class)

(b) No

Let $U = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (-\frac{1}{n^n}, \frac{1}{n^n})$

$\vec{0} = (0, 0, \dots) \in U$ & U open in box topology

$$0 \in f^{-1}(U) = (-1, 1) \cap [0, \frac{1}{2}) \cap (-\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}) \cap [0, \frac{1}{4}) \cap \dots \\ = \left(\bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} (-\frac{1}{2^{j-1}}, \frac{1}{2^{j-1}}) \right) \cap \bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} [0, \frac{1}{2^j}) \\ = \{0\} \text{ is not open in } \mathbb{R}.$$

Q4

$$A \in SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$$

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \text{ say.}$$

$$A^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{pmatrix} \in SL(2, \mathbb{Z}). \quad (6)$$

$A: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2: (x, y) \mapsto (ax+by, cx+dy)$ is cts since each coordinate function is (a sum of cts functions & hence is) cts, and \mathbb{R}^2 (target) has the product topology.

Likewise, $A^{-1}: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2: (x, y) \mapsto (dx-cy, -bx+ay)$ is cts.

$$\text{Let } q: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2/\mathbb{Z}^2: (x, y) \mapsto q(x, y) = [(x, y)]$$

denote the map which takes a point to its \sim equivalence class & give $\mathbb{R}^2/\mathbb{Z}^2$ the quotient topology.

Then q is cts & the composition $q \circ A: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2/\mathbb{Z}^2$ is cts.

$$\text{Further, } (x, y) \sim (x', y')$$

$$\Rightarrow (x, y) - (x', y') \in \mathbb{Z}^2$$

$$\Rightarrow A((x, y) - (x', y')) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \quad \dots \text{ since } A \text{ entries are } \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

$$\Rightarrow A(x, y) - A(x', y') \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \quad \dots \text{ } A \text{ linear}$$

$$\Rightarrow A(x, y) \sim A(x', y')$$

$$\Rightarrow q \circ A(x, y) = q \circ A(x', y')$$

Thm from class about quotient spaces \Rightarrow we get a well-defined, cts

function $\hat{A} : \mathbb{R}^2/\mathbb{Z}^2 \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^2/\mathbb{Z}^2$, defined (7)

by setting $\hat{A}([x, y]) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} q \circ A(x, y) = [A(x, y)]$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathbb{R}^2 & \xrightarrow{A} & \mathbb{R}^2 \\
 \downarrow q & \searrow q \circ A & \downarrow q \\
 \mathbb{R}^2/\mathbb{Z}^2 & \xrightarrow{\hat{A}} & \mathbb{R}^2/\mathbb{Z}^2
 \end{array}$$

$$\hat{A}([x, y]) = [A(x, y)]$$

Likewise, $\hat{A}^{-1} : \mathbb{R}^2/\mathbb{Z}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2/\mathbb{Z}^2 : [x, y] \longmapsto [A^{-1}(x, y)]$
is cts.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Finally, } \hat{A}^{-1} \circ \hat{A}([x, y]) &= \hat{A}^{-1}([A(x, y)]) \quad \dots \text{ def } \hat{A} \\
 &= [A^{-1}A(x, y)] \quad \dots \text{ def } \hat{A}^{-1} \\
 &= [x, y], \quad \forall [x, y] \in \mathbb{R}^2/\mathbb{Z}^2
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{and } \hat{A} \circ \hat{A}^{-1}([x, y]) &= \hat{A}([A^{-1}(x, y)]) \quad \dots \text{ def } \hat{A}^{-1} \\
 &= [AA^{-1}(x, y)] \quad \dots \text{ def } \hat{A} \\
 &= [x, y] \quad \forall [x, y] \in \mathbb{R}^2/\mathbb{Z}^2
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, \hat{A}, \hat{A}^{-1} are cts inverses of each other
& hence are homeomorphisms.

Q5

(a) Are homeomorphic

(8)

$$f: X \times Y \rightarrow Y \times X : (x, y) \mapsto (y, x)$$

$$g: Y \times X \rightarrow X \times Y : (y, x) \mapsto (x, y)$$

Note $f \circ g = \mathbb{1}_{Y \times X}$ & $g \circ f = \mathbb{1}_{X \times Y}$

$\Rightarrow f, g$ are bijections.

$$f^{-1}(\text{basis elt for product top on } Y \times X)$$

$$= f^{-1}(v \times u) \quad \dots \quad v \in \mathcal{U}_Y, u \in \mathcal{U}_X$$

$$= u \times v = \text{basis elt for prod top on } X \times Y$$

$\Rightarrow f$ is cts.

Likewise g is cts.

\leftarrow cts inverses of each other.

$\Rightarrow f, g$ are homeomorphisms.

Q 5 (b)

Not homeomorphic.

(9)

eg

$X = \mathbb{Z}_+$ with usual ordering

$Y = \{1, 2\}$ with ordering inherited from \mathbb{Z}_+ .

$X \times Y, \text{lex}$ is $\mathbb{Z}_+ \times \{1, 2\}, \text{lex}$ which is order isomorphic to \mathbb{Z}_+ (class notes) & hence order top is discrete.

~~$Y \times X, \text{lex}$~~ is $\{1, 2\} \times \mathbb{Z}_+, \text{lex}$ does not give a discrete topology.

In particular, an open neighbourhood of $(2, 1)$ will have to contain an interval of the form $((1, n), (2, 2))$ for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$

This contains only many points $\{(1, m) \mid m > n\}$ in addition to $(2, 1)$.

$\Rightarrow \{(2, 1)\}$ is not open in the

lex-order topology.
