When do we have 1 + 1 = 11 and 2 + 2 = 5?

R. PADMANABHAN ALOK SHUKLA

This work is inspired in part by the following passage from the famous dystopian novel 1984, by George Orwell:

"He wrote first in large clumsy capitals: FREEDOM IS SLAVERY.

Then almost without a pause he wrote beneath it: TWO AND TWO MAKE FIVE".

Here we address a more general question: when does the group law "+", defined using a polynomial, on the set of rational numbers, satisfy both 1+1=u and 2+2=v? Surprisingly, this innocuous and perhaps strange looking question, has connections with some interesting results in number theory, going back to the work of Indian mathematician Brahmagupta, from the early 7th century.

1 Introduction.

Imagine a world where two plus two may not equal four. Such a world was depicted in the novel 1984, by George Orwell, wherein under a powerful oppressing state the truth of "two and two make four" can no longer be taken for granted. We quote:

'You are a slow learner, Winston,' said O'Brien gently.
'How can I help it?' he blubbered. 'How can I help seeing what is in front of my eyes? Two and two are four.'
Sometimes, Winston. Sometimes they are five. Sometimes they are three. Sometimes they are all of them at once. You must try harder. It is not easy to become sane.'

Of course, in the standard mathematical world of arithmetic, the truth of 'two plus two equals four' is self-evident. However, a protagonist in the 'Notes from the Underground', by Fyodor Dostoevsky says:

"I admit that twice two makes four is an excellent thing, but if we are to give everything its due, twice two makes five is sometimes a very charming thing too."

Taking inspiration from the above works of fiction by Orwell and Dostoevsky, we ask rather seriously: can we really have 2 + 2 = 5? If yes, then what about 1 + 1 in such a mathematical system? And, what if we desire both 1 + 1 = 11 and 2 + 2 = 5 to hold together? ¹

Here we ask a more general question: when does the group law " \oplus " satisfy both $1 \oplus 1 = u$ and $2 \oplus 2 = v$? To investigate this, let us fix a field K. The case of interest for us will be when K is a finite extension of \mathbb{Q} . We will mainly consider the case of trivial extension, i.e., when K is \mathbb{Q} . We further impose the condition that \oplus is defined by a polynomial $P(x,y) \in K[x,y]$, i.e., $x \oplus y = P(x,y)$. Our main goal will be to answer the following two questions.

- (1) Given u and v, find P and K such that $1 \oplus 1 = u$ and $2 \oplus 2 = v$?
- (2) Given some conditions on u and v, and $K = \mathbb{Q}$, will it be possible to have $1 \oplus 1 = u$ and $2 \oplus 2 = v$?

Definition 1 Let K be a finite extension of \mathbb{Q} . We say that $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{P}, \mathbf{K})$ is true, if there exists a commutative group operation $x \oplus y$ given by a polynomial $P(x, y) \in K[x, y]$, such that

$$1 \oplus 1 = u$$
 and $2 \oplus 2 = v$. (1)

2 Characterization of the polynomial group operation $x \oplus y = P(x, y)$.

In order to address the questions raised in the previous section, we will first characterize the polynomial P(x, y), using the commutative and associative properties of the group law \oplus . Suppose the highest degree of x in P(x, y) is n. Then, associativity of P(x, y) implies P(P(x, y), z) = P(x, P(y, z)). The highest degree of x on the left is n^2 , whereas it is n on the right side. Therefore, $n^2 = n$. We ignore the case n = 0, as it will mean that P(x, y) doesn't depend on x. A contradiction, since the group operation \oplus must depend on both x and y. Similarly, the highest degree of y in P(x, y) is also 1. Next,

¹In 2017, while election campaigning in the state of Gujarat, India, the then Indian Prime Minister Narendra Modi declared, "1+1 is not 2 but 11 and together we will take Gujarat to new heights". Another political leader, the general secretary of Communist Party of India (Marxist), Mr. Sitaram Yechury said in 2017: "Politics is not just arithmetic. Two plus two could become twenty two if we strengthened people's struggle." See also a funny math video [1].

commutativity of P(x, y) implies that P(x, y) is symmetric in x and y, hence it can be assumed that

$$P(x,y) = axy + bx + by + c. (2)$$

Using associativity, we write $(1 \oplus 2) \oplus 3 = 1 \oplus (2 \oplus 3)$. Now

$$P(P(1,2),3) = P(1,P(2,3))$$

$$\implies (2a+3b+c,3) = P(1,6a+5b+c)$$

$$\implies 3a(2a+3b+c) + b(2a+3b+c+3) + c$$

$$= a(6a+5b+c) + b(6a+5b+c+1) + c$$

$$\implies ac = b^2 - b.$$
(3)

If a = 0, then b must be 1 as both a and b can't be zero simultaneously. Therefore, there are two possibilities for P(x, y). Either,

$$P(x, y) = x + y + c, (4)$$

or else, if $a \neq 0$ then

$$P(x, y) = axy + bx + by + \frac{b^2 - b}{a}.$$
 (5)

Remark See the proof of Lemma 5, [3], for a similar proof of the above result.

The former case, P(x, y) = x + y + c is easy to deal with, and we immediately dispose it off. It is clear that in this case $1 \oplus 1 = u$ and $2 \oplus 2 = v$ implies that 2 + c = u and 4 + c = v. But then v - u = 2. The converse is also obvious. Therefore, we conclude that:

Lemma 1 If $u, v \in \mathbb{Q}$ be such that v - u = 2, then there exists a group operation \oplus , given by the polynomial $x \oplus y = P(x, y) = x + y + u - 2$, such that $1 \oplus 1 = u$ and $2 \oplus 2 = v$, i.e., $(u, u + 2, x + y + u - 2, \mathbb{Q})$ is true.

Remark We note here that $x \oplus y = P(x, y)$ given by Eq. (4) and Eq. (5), both define a group. It can directly be checked that -c is the identity element in the former case and $\frac{1-b}{a}$ is the identity elements in the later case. Moreover, it is interesting to note that the group obtained is isomorphic to K in the former case, whereas the group obtained in the later case is isomorphic to the multiplicative group of the non-zero elements of

the field, i.e., K^{\times} . The isomorphism in the case when P(x, y) is defined by Eq. (5), is given by f(x) = ax + b as shown below.

$$f(P(x,y)) = aP(x,y) + b$$
= $a(axy + bx + by + (b^2 - b)/a) + b$
= $a^2xy + abx + aby + (b^2 - b) + b$
= $(ax + b)(ay + b)$
= $f(x) \times f(y)$.

This proves the isomorphism. In particular, to find the identity element e, we simply solve the equation f(e) = 1: ae + b = 1, or e = (1 - b)/a. Moreover, the inverse of $0 \in K$ under the map f is -b/a, therefore, the group given by $x \oplus y = P(x, y) = axy + bx + by + (b^2 - b)/a$ is defined on the set $K - \{-b/a\}$.

In the following, we will continue to use the statement '(u, v, P, K) is true' as defined in Def. 1, even when the group might be defined on the set $K - \{-b/a\}$, rather than on K.

3 Connections with number theory.

Now we consider the other more interesting case $a \neq 0$, and assume that P(x, y) is given by Eq. (5). Since, from Eq. (1), we have $1 \oplus 1 = u$, and $2 \oplus 2 = v$, we need to solve for a, b, in the following equations:

$$a^2 - b + 2ab + b^2 = au, (6)$$

$$4a^2 - b + 4ab + b^2 = av. (7)$$

Since $a \neq 0$ by assumption, the only solutions obtained are

$$a = -3 + u + v \pm \sqrt{9 - 8u - 4v + 4uv}$$
 and $b = \frac{1}{2}(-3a - u + v)$. (8)

For u=11 and v=5, from the above equation, we see that the solution is possible in \mathbb{Q} , i.e., $(11,5,24xy-39x-39y+65,\mathbb{Q})$ is true. As remarked earlier, we note that -b/a=39/24 is excluded from \mathbb{Q} , while defining the group in this example. However, it is not always possible to have $1 \oplus 1 = u$ and $2 \oplus 2 = v$, defined by a polynomial group law \oplus over rationals, i.e., (u,v,P,\mathbb{Q}) is not always true. As an example, for u=11 and v=22 we have

$$a = 3(10 \pm \sqrt{89}), b = \frac{1}{2}(11 - 3a).$$

In this case, $(11, 22, P, \mathbb{Q})$ is false, but $(11, 22, P, \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{89}))$ is true, answering Danny's question, [1].

Now we consider, given $u, v \in \mathbb{Z}$, whether (u, v, P, \mathbb{Q}) is true (with P as defined in Eq. (5)). It is clear that for (u, v, P, \mathbb{Q}) to be true 9 - 8u - 4v + 4uv must be perfect square. Consider the Diophantine equation

$$9 - 8u - 4v + 4uv = n^2. (9)$$

for all possible integers u, v and n. First we assume that n is fixed. Clearly for a solution to exist $n^2 \equiv 1 \mod 4$. This means n must be odd. Then, in fact, $n^2 \equiv 1 \mod 8$. Let this be the case. Assume n = 2m + 1. Then, we have

$$2 - 2u - v + uv = m(m+1)$$

$$\Longrightarrow (u-1)(v-2) = m(m+1) = \frac{1}{4}(n^2 - 1). \tag{10}$$

From Eq. 10, for a given n we can count the number of solutions (u, v), and it is given by $\sigma_0(\frac{1}{4}(n^2 - 1)) =$ the number of divisors of $\frac{1}{4}(n^2 - 1)$.

Next, we assume that u, v are given. From Eq. (10), a necessary condition for (u, v, P, \mathbb{Q}) to be true is

$$(u-1)(v-2) \equiv 0 \mod 2.$$
 (11)

We also note that, if (u-1)(v-2) < 0, then from Eq. (10), we conclude $\frac{n^2-1}{4} < 0$, for (u,v,P,\mathbb{Q}) to be true. Then n=0 or $n=\pm 2$, as n must be odd. Clearly, for these values of n, there does not exist any integer solution for u,v, satisfying Eq. (10). Therefore, (u,v,P,\mathbb{Q}) is false.

Now we will prove a number of results characterizing the truth of (u, v, P, \mathbb{Q}) .

Theorem 1

(i) Let u - 1 and v - 2 be prime numbers. Then,

$$(u, v, P, \mathbb{Q})$$
 is true (clarify Def. 1) $\implies u = v = 4$ or $u = 3, v = 5$. (12)

(ii) $|u-v+1|=1 \implies (u,v,P,\mathbb{Q})$ is true.

Proof

(i) Since, (u, v, P, \mathbb{Q}) is true, from Eq. (10),

$$(u-1)(v-2) = \left(\frac{n-1}{2}\right) \left(\frac{n+1}{2}\right).$$
 (13)

Since, $gcd(\frac{n+1}{2}, \frac{n-1}{2}) = 1$, we have the following cases:

$$\begin{cases} u - 1 = \frac{n+1}{2} & \text{and } v - 2 = \frac{n-1}{2}, \\ u - 1 = \frac{n-1}{2} & \text{and } v - 2 = \frac{n+1}{2}. \end{cases}$$

From the above, we get that $\frac{n-1}{2}$ and $\frac{n+1}{2}$ must be consecutive primes. This means $\frac{n-1}{2} = 2$ and n = 5. Then, either u = v = 4 or u = 3 and v = 5 and the proof is complete.

(ii) As |u-v+1|=1, we get either u=v or u=v-2. If u=v, then $9-8u-4v+4uv=(2u-3)^2$. Next, if u=v-2, then $9-8u-4v+4uv=(2v-5)^2$. Therefore, in both the cases (u,v,P,\mathbb{Q}) is true, and we are done.

Theorem 2 If $(u-1)(v-2) = 2t^2$, where u, v and t > 0 are integers, then

$$(u, v, P, \mathbb{Q})$$
 is true (clarify Def. 1) $\iff t = \frac{(3 + 2\sqrt{2})^m - (3 - 2\sqrt{2})^m}{4\sqrt{2}}$ (14)

for some positive integer m.

Proof Assume (u, v, P, \mathbb{Q}) to be true. Then from Eq. (10) and the given hypothesis $(u-1)(v-2)=2t^2$, we obtain

$$n^2 - 8t^2 = 1. (15)$$

This is a special case of Pell's equation $n^2 - dt^2 = 1$, with d = 8. In the number field $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$, we can write

$$(n + 2\sqrt{2}t)(n - 2\sqrt{2}t) = 1.$$

Then $N(n+2\sqrt{2}t)=1$, where $N(\cdot)$ is the usual norm in K. We note that n=3,2t=2 is a solution of Eq. 20, and in fact, $3+2\sqrt{2}$ is a fundamental unit (see Table 4, Page 280, [2]). Then from Theorem 11.3.2, [2], it follows that any solution of $n^2-8t^2=1$, will be such that $n+2\sqrt{2}t=\pm(3+\sqrt{2}\cdot2)^m$ for some integer m. We can assume m to be a positive integer. Then on solving for t, in $n+2\sqrt{2}t=(3+\sqrt{2}\cdot2)^m$ and $n-2\sqrt{2}t=(3+\sqrt{2}\cdot2)^{-m}=(3-\sqrt{2}\cdot2)^m$, the result follows.

Now, to prove the other direction assume that t is given by Eq. (14). Then on defining

$$n = \frac{(3 + 2\sqrt{2})^m + (3 - 2\sqrt{2})^m}{2},\tag{16}$$

we see that $n^2 - 8t^2 = 1$. This along with $(u-1)(v-2) = 2t^2$ gives $(u-1)(v-2) = \frac{n^2 - 1}{4}$. Then, $9 - 8u - 4v + 4uv = n^2$. Therefore, (u, v, P, \mathbb{Q}) is true. This completes the proof for the other direction.

We state the following result, whose proof is similar to the previous theorem.

Theorem 3 Let $\alpha_d + \beta_d \sqrt{2d}$ be the fundamental unit of the number field $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2d})$, where d is a square free odd integer. Also assume $(u-1)(v-2) = 2dt^2$, with u, v and t > 0 being integers. Then

$$(u, v, P, \mathbb{Q})$$
 is true (clarify Def. 1) $\iff t = \frac{(\alpha_d + \beta_d \sqrt{2d})^m - (\alpha_d - \beta_d \sqrt{2d})^m}{4\sqrt{2d}},$

$$\tag{17}$$

for some positive integer m.

Remark (Chakravala: an ancient algorithm) We note that the proof of the above theorem depended upon the solution of Pell's equation $n^2 - 8dt^2 = 1$. Indeed, Pell's equation has a very interesting history. It is one of the cases of wrong attributions in mathematics.

In 1657 Fermat posed a challenge to mathematicians. The challenge was to find integer solutions for the equation $x^2 - Ny^2 = 1$, for values of N like N = 61, 109. Several centuries earlier, in 1150, Bhaskara II had already found solutions for the problem proposed by Fermat.

$$1766319049^2 - 61(226153980)^2 = 1$$
$$158070671986249^2 - 109(15140424455100)^2 = 1.$$

In fact, Brahmagupta (598-665) had already solved this equation in the early seventh century for various values of N, such as N=83 and N=92. Brahmagupta viewed these problems very highly and he had remarked: "Any person who is able to solve these two cases, within a year, is truly a mathematician"!

Let (a, b; m) to denote an integer solution of the equation $x^2 - Ny^2 = m$. Brahmagupta discovered the following 'composition rule' in the early seventh century.

$$(a,b;m)*(c,d;n) \to (ac \pm Nbd, ad \pm bc;mn). \tag{18}$$

This is perhaps one of the earliest example of the use a 'group-theoretic' argument in mathematics. This 'composition rule' allowed Brahmagupta to obtain new solutions from old known solutions, since clearly by composing a known solution (a, b; m) with a triple (p, q; 1), one can easily find new solutions $(ap \pm Nbq, aq \pm bp; n)$. Later, Jayadeva, Narayana and Bhaskara had refined and built on the works of Brahmagupta to devise an algorithm called the "Chakravala" for finding all the integer solutions of the equation $x^2 - Ny^2 = \pm 1$ for any positive integer N. We refer readers to [6] for an interesting discussion on this.

Theorem 4 If $(u-1)(v-2) = 2t^3$, where u, v and t are integers, then (u, v, P, \mathbb{Q}) is true (clarify Def. 1) $\iff t = 0$ or t = 1. (19)

Proof From $(u - 1)(v - 2) = \frac{1}{4}(n^2 - 1)$ we need to solve,

$$n^2 = (2t)^3 + 1. (20)$$

This is a special case of Mordell's equation $y^2 = x^3 + k$, with k = 1. It is known that only integral solutions of this equation are $(x, y) = (-1, 0), (0, \pm 1)$, and $(2, \pm 3)$ (See Theorem 5, Chapter 26, Page 247, [4]).

Theorem 5 If $(u-1)(v-2) = 2(2^{t-3}-1)$, where u, v and t are integers with t > 0, then

$$(u, v, P, \mathbb{Q})$$
 is true (clarify Def. 1) $\iff t \in \{3, 4, 5, 7, 15\}.$ (21)

Proof First let (u, v, P, \mathbb{Q}) be true. Then from Eq. (10) and the given hypothesis $(u-1)(v-2)=2(2^{n-3}-1)$, we get

$$n^2 + 7 = 2^t. (22)$$

We recall this is Ramanujan-Nagell equation. In fact, Ramanujan conjectured in 1913 that (1,3), (3,4), (5,5), (11,7) and (181,15) are only positive solutions (n,t) of the Diophantine equation $n^2 + 7 = 2^t$. Nagell proved this conjecture in 1948, [5]. Therefore, from this our result follows.

References

[1] Alternative math | short film, https://youtu.be/Zh3Yz3PiXZw, uploaded on: Sept.19, 2017.

- [2] Saban Alaca and Kenneth S Williams. *Introductory Algebraic Number Theory*. Cambridge University Press, 2004.
- [3] Joel V Brawley, Shuhong Gao, and Donald Mills. Associative rational functions in two variables. In *Finite Fields and Applications*, pages 43–56. Springer, 2001.
- [4] Louis Joel Mordell. *Diophantine Equations*, volume 30. Academic Press, 1969.
- [5] T Nagell. The Diophantine equation $x^2 + 7 = 2^n$. Arkiv för Matematik, 4(2):185–187, 1961.
- [6] André Weil. Number Theory: An Approach Through History From Hammurapi To Legendre. Springer Science & Business Media, 2006.

University of Manitoba, Canada

 ${\tt Ranganathan.Padmanabhan@umanitoba.ca, sajal.eee@gmail.com}$