## A first look at Haskell lists

Lists are fundamentally important in Haskell. Let's start by trying to define a list, as we would in GAP:

Hugs> x := [1,2]

We get the error

ERROR - Undefined data constructor ":="

OK, let's try

Hugs> x = [1,2]

ERROR - Syntax error in input (unexpected '=')

Well, how do we make an assignment in Haskell? The answer is that Haskell doesn't really do assignments. We can *define* something called x that will equal the list [1, 2] by reading in the following lines from a file:

x :: [Int] x = [1,2]

The first line says that  $\mathbf{x}$  is an object of type a list of objects of type Int (integers), and the second one says that  $\mathbf{x}$  will equal the list [1,2]. If only the first line is read in, then Haskell gives an error since no "binding", or definition, of  $\mathbf{x}$  was found. The HUGS intepreter will not let you type these in at the prompt— it doesn't trust you to give a good binding, so it gives you an error as soon as you type in  $\mathbf{x} :: [Int]$ .

This may seem to be an "assignment," but Haskell will never let you *redefine* the value of  $\mathbf{x}$ , which will remain equal to [1, 2] for the rest of the session. The lack of true assignment might seem to be a very restrictive limitation, but in return we avoid all the many difficult-to-avoid and difficult-to-correct errors that arise from changing values of variables.

Still working at the interpreter, let's explore some of the basics of lists. The expression

Hugs> [1,2]

evaluates simply to [1,2]. Haskell has some of the same list notations as GAP. Try

Hugs> [1..10]

It also understands

Hugs> [(-15)..(-10)]

but the parentheses are necessary to keep it from getting confused.

Lists in Haskell differ from lists in GAP in a very important way. Haskell lists must be *homogeneous*, that is, every element in them must have the same type. Trying to evaluate

Hugs> [(1,2),5]

gives an error, as does

Hugs> [(1,2),(3,4,5)]

but

Hugs> [(1,2),(3,5)]

is no problem.

Notice that

Hugs> [[1,2],[3,4,5]]

is OK. Unlike tuples, lists of varying lengths are objects of the same type, *provided that their entries are the same type*. Thus, the type of [1,2] is [Int], and the type of [[1,2],[3,4,5]] is [[Int]]. Note that these are different, so Haskell does not accept a construction such as [[1,2],[[3,4]]] as a list.

It is true that [1,2] has type [Int], but something odd happens when we check the type of [1,2]:

Hugs> :t [1,2]

Expecting

```
[1,2] :: [Int]
```

we instead get

```
[1,2] :: Num a => [a]
```

This will make more sense later. Notice that Haskell does accept

Hugs> [1, 2, 3.5]

and gives its type to be

[1, 2, 3.5] :: Fractional a => [a]

This involves a more advanced concept in Haskell, called "unification." Roughly speaking, in some situations Haskell figures out the most general common type of a collection of objects. For [1,2], it determines the class containing 1 and 2 to be the "numerical" and for [1, 2, 3.5] it determines the common class to be "fractional."

Lists are usually built up by adding elements to the *front* of the list using the **cons** operator, for list *cons*tructor. The **cons** operator is denoted by colon, so

Hugs> 5:[1,2] Hugs> 5:[] Hugs> [1,2]:[[1,2],[3,4,5]] Hugs> 5:4:3:2:1:[]

Actually, this last expression is what Haskell actually "sees"; when you write [5,4,3,2,1], the Haskell interpreter immediately parses it to 5:4:3:2:1:[] for further evaluation.

One of the important built-in types is the character type. Characters are indicated by

single quotes, as in Hugs> 'a' 'a'

Double quotes indicate a character "string", which is a list of characters. To test this, evaluate

Hugs> 'a':'b':[]

Lists are so fundamental in Haskell that many of the common list functions are in the Prelude. Here are a few of the most common:

Hugs> concat :: [[a]] -> [a]

is the *concatenate* function. It combines a list of lists into one single list:

Hugs> concat [[1,2,3], [4], [], [4,5,6]] [1, 2, 3, 4, 4, 5, 6] Hugs> elem :: Eq a => a -> [a] -> Bool

is the *is element of* function. It tell whether an element of type **a** is a member of a list of elements of type **a**, where the type **a** must have a concept of equality.

The *head* function

 $Hugs>head :: [a] \rightarrow a$ 

simply returns the first element of the list.

```
Hugs> head "abcdef"
'a'
```

The list must be nonempty,

Hugs> head []

produces an error.

There is also a last function, but a more natural companion function to head is

Hugs>tail :: [a] -> [a]

which returns the list with its first element removed. Again, the list must be nonempty.

Lists can be added using the *concatenation* operator ++, provided of course that they are of the same type. Thus we have

Hugs> "abc" ++ 'a': "bc"

"abcabc"

Note that the precedence of operations is that **cons** preceds concatenation. In general, **cons** has one of the highest precedences of any of the Haskell operators.

Can Haskell understand this input?

Hugs> "The answer is " ++ (5 \* 5)

Most computer languages such as Java or C will make the type conversion needed to interpret this line, but Haskell is very strongly typed and gives an error to this input. There is a function for converting numerical (and many other) expressions into strings, called the **show** function. If you enter

Hugs> "The answer is " ++ show (5 \* 5)

the show function converts 25 to a string, which is then concatenated with the first string.