Instructions: Give brief, clear answers.
I. Write the following as an implication: " $a^{2} \geq 2$ for at most one $a$ ".

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(a^{2} \geq 2 \wedge b^{2} \geq 2\right) \Rightarrow a=b \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

II. Using step-by-step logic, simplify the following expression: $\neg(\neg R \wedge \exists z,(Q(z) \Rightarrow P(z))$

$$
\begin{gather*}
\neg(\neg R \wedge \exists z,(Q(z) \Rightarrow P(z)) \equiv R \vee \neg \exists z,(Q(z) \Rightarrow P(z))  \tag{3}\\
\equiv R \vee \forall z, \neg(Q(z) \Rightarrow P(z)) \equiv R \vee \forall z, \neg(\neg Q(z) \vee P(z)) \\
\equiv R \vee \forall z,(Q(z) \wedge \neg P(z))
\end{gather*}
$$

III. Give the general form of a proof by contradiction. That is, if the statement to be proven is $P$, give the main (4) steps in the logical structure of the proof. Briefly explain why the argument proves the original assertion.

The general form is:

## Statement: P.

proof: Assume $\neg P$.

Therefore $Q$.
But $Q$ is false.
Therefore $P$.
The first section of the argument proves the implication $\neg P \Rightarrow Q$, by a direct argument. This is equivalent to its contrapositive, $\neg Q \Rightarrow P$. Then, one observes that $\neg Q$ is true, so the implication $\neg Q \Rightarrow P$ guarantees that $P$ is true.
IV. In this problem, you may take as known the fact that $\sqrt{2}$ is irrational.
(9) (a) Prove that the difference of two rational numbers must be rational (that is, that if $x$ and $y$ are rational, then $x-y$ is rational).

Let $x$ and $y$ be rational numbers. Then, we can write $x=\frac{p}{q}$ and $y=\frac{r}{s}$ for some integers $p, q, r$, and
$s$. We calculate that $x-y=\frac{p s-r q}{q s}$, so $x-y$ is also rational.
(b) Prove that the sum of a rational number and an irrational number must be irrational.

Suppose for contradiction that there exist a rational number $x$ and an irrational number $y$ for which $x+y$ is rational. Using part (a), $y=(x+y)-x$ is rational, which is a contradiction.
(c) Give a counterexample to: The difference of two irrational numbers must be irrational.

Put $x=\sqrt{2}$ and $y=\sqrt{2}$. Then $x-y=0$, which is rational.
V. Write the following statement in logical notation (and simplified so that it does not involve the negation (3) symbol $\neg$ ) using the universal set $\mathcal{U}=\mathbb{Z}$ : There is a positive integer that is not the sum of the squares of three integers.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \exists n,\left(n>0 \wedge \neg\left(\exists a, \exists b, \exists c, a^{2}+b^{2}+c^{2}=n\right)\right) \\
& \equiv \exists n,\left(n>0 \wedge \forall a, \forall b, \forall c, \neg\left(a^{2}+b^{2}+c^{2}=n\right)\right) \\
& \equiv \exists n,\left(n>0 \wedge \forall a, \forall b, \forall c, a^{2}+b^{2}+c^{2} \neq n\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

VI. Write each of the following as either $A \Rightarrow B$ or $B \Rightarrow A$ :
(3) (i) $A$ is necessary for $B$

$$
B \Rightarrow A
$$

(ii) $A$, when $B$

$$
B \Rightarrow A
$$

(iii) whenever $A, B$

$$
A \Rightarrow B
$$

VII. Let $M(p, m)$ be "Person $p$ has seen the movie $m$." Write each of the following statements in logical notation, putting in all necessary quantifiers using the sets $\mathcal{P}$ of all people and $\mathcal{M}$ of all movies. If your answer involves a negation, simplify as much as possible.
(a) Jeff has seen every movie.

$$
\forall m \in \mathcal{M}, M(\mathrm{Jeff}, m)
$$

(b) Jack has never seen a movie.
$\neg \exists m \in \mathcal{M}, M($ Jack, $m)$, which simplifies slightly to $\forall m \in \mathcal{M}, \neg M($ Jack, $m)$.
(c) Mary has seen every movie that Fred has seen.

$$
\forall m \in \mathcal{M},(M(\text { Fred }, m) \Rightarrow M(\text { Mary }, m))
$$

(d) Everyone has seen at least one movie.

$$
\forall p \in \mathcal{P}, \exists m \in \mathcal{M}, M(p, m)
$$

(e) Between the two of them, Ellen and Max have seen every movie.

$$
\forall m \in \mathcal{M},(M(\text { Ellen }, m) \vee M(\operatorname{Max}, m))
$$

VIII. Use a truth table to verify the tautology $(\neg Z \Rightarrow(X \wedge \neg X)) \Rightarrow Z$.
(4)

| $Z$ | $X$ | $\neg X$ | $X \wedge \neg X$ | $\neg Z$ | $\neg Z \Rightarrow(X \wedge \neg X)$ | $(\neg Z \Rightarrow(X \wedge \neg X)) \Rightarrow Z$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T | T | F | F | F | T | T |
| T | F | T | F | F | T | T |
| F | T | F | F | T | F | T |
| F | F | T | F | T | F | T |

IX. Assuming that the universal set is $\mathcal{U}=\mathbb{R}$, prove (if the statement is true) or disprove (if the statement is (8) false) each of the following statements.

1. $\forall x,(x>0 \Rightarrow x>1)$

Counterexample: Putting $x=\frac{1}{2}$, we have $\frac{1}{2}>0$ but $\frac{1}{2} \leq 1$.
2. $\exists x,(x>0 \Rightarrow x>1)$

Proof: Put $x=2$. Then $2>0$ and $2>1$, so $2>0 \Rightarrow 2>1$.
A more amusing Proof: Put $x=-1$. Then $-1>0$ and $-1>1$ are false, so $-1>0 \Rightarrow-1>1$ is true.
3. $\forall x,(x>1 \Rightarrow x>0)$

Proof: Let $x$ be a real number. Assume that $x>1$. Since $1>0$, it follows that $x>0$.
4. $\exists x,(x>1 \Rightarrow x>0)$

Proof: Put $x=2$. Then $2>1$ and $2>0$, so $2>1 \Rightarrow 2>0$.
X. Assuming that the universal set is $\mathcal{U}=\mathbb{R}$, prove the statement $\forall x, \exists y, x>y$.
(3) Let $x$ be a real number. Putting $y=x-1$, we have $x>x-1=y$.
XI. This problem concerns the following statement about integers: "If $5 n+4$ is even, then $n$ is even."
(6) (a) Prove the statement by arguing the contrapositive.

We will argue the contrapositive. Assume that $n$ is odd. Then we can write $n=2 k+1$ for some integer $k$. We calculate that $5 n+4=10 k+9=2(5 k+4)+1$, so $5 n+4$ is odd.
(b) Prove the statement using proof by contradiction.

Suppose for contradiction that there is an integer $n$ for which $5 n+4$ is even, but $n$ is odd. We can write $n=2 k+1$ for some integer $k$, and calculate that $5 n+4=10 k+9=2(5 k+4)+1$. Therefore, $5 n+4$ must be odd, contradicting the assumption that it is even.

