Instructions: Give brief, clear answers.

I. Using step-by-step logic, simplify the following expression: $\neg(\neg Q \land \forall z, (R(z) \Rightarrow P(z)))$ (3) $\neg(\neg Q \land \forall z, (R(z) \Rightarrow P(z)) \equiv Q \lor \neg \forall z, (R(z) \Rightarrow P(z))$

$$\equiv Q \lor \exists z, \neg (R(z) \Rightarrow P(z)) \equiv Q \lor \exists z, \neg (\neg R(z) \lor P(z)) \\ \equiv Q \lor \exists z, \neg (R(z) \Rightarrow P(z)) \equiv Q \lor \exists z, \neg (\neg R(z) \lor P(z)) \\ \equiv Q \lor \exists z, (R(z) \land \neg P(z))$$

II. Write the following as an implication: " $b^2 \ge 2$ for at most one b".

$$(b^2 \ge 2 \land c^2 \ge 2) \Rightarrow b = c$$

III. Use a truth table to verify the tautology $(\neg Y \Rightarrow (X \land \neg X)) \Rightarrow Y$. (4)

Y	X	$\neg Y$	$\neg X$	$X \wedge \neg X$	$\neg Y \Rightarrow (X \land \neg X)$	$\left(\neg Y \Rightarrow (X \land \neg X)\right) \Rightarrow Y$
Т	Т	\mathbf{F}	\mathbf{F}	\mathbf{F}	Т	Т
Т	F	\mathbf{F}	Т	F	Т	Т
F	Т	Т	F	F	\mathbf{F}	Т
F	F	Т	Т	\mathbf{F}	F	Т

- **IV**. Write each of the following as either $A \Rightarrow B$ or $B \Rightarrow A$:
- (3) (i) whenever A, B
 - $A \Rightarrow B$
 - (ii) A is necessary for B

 $B \Rightarrow A$

- (iii) A, when B
 - $B \Rightarrow A$
- V. Write the following statement in logical notation (and simplified so that it does not involve the negation
- (3) symbol \neg) using the universal set $\mathcal{U} = \mathbb{Z}$: There is a positive integer that is not the sum of the squares of three integers.

$$\exists n, (n > 0 \land \neg (\exists a, \exists b, \exists c, a^2 + b^2 + c^2 = n)) \\ \equiv \exists n, (n > 0 \land \forall a, \forall b, \forall c, \neg (a^2 + b^2 + c^2 = n)) \\ \equiv \exists n, (n > 0 \land \forall a, \forall b, \forall c, a^2 + b^2 + c^2 \neq n)$$

- VI. Give the general form of a proof by contradiction. That is, if the statement to be proven is P, give the main
- (4) steps in the logical structure of the proof. Briefly explain why the argument proves the original assertion.

The general form is:

```
Statement: P.

proof: Assume \neg P.

...

Therefore Q.

But Q is false.

Therefore P. \Box
```

The first section of the argument proves the implication $\neg P \Rightarrow Q$, by a direct argument. This is equivalent to its contrapositive, $\neg Q \Rightarrow P$. Then, one observes that $\neg Q$ is true, so the implication $\neg Q \Rightarrow P$ guarantees that P is true.

- **VII.** In this problem, you may take as known the fact that $\sqrt{2}$ is irrational.
- (9) (a) Prove that the difference of two rational numbers must be rational (that is, that if x and y are rational, then x y is rational).

Let x and y be rational numbers. Then, we can write $x = \frac{p}{q}$ and $y = \frac{r}{s}$ for some integers p, q, r, and s. We calculate that $x - y = \frac{ps - rq}{as}$, so x - y is also rational.

(b) Prove that the sum of a rational number and an irrational number must be irrational.

Suppose for contradiction that there exist a rational number x and an irrational number y for which x + y is rational. Using part (a), y = (x + y) - x is rational, which is a contradiction.

(c) Give a counterexample to: The difference of two irrational numbers must be irrational.

Put $x = \sqrt{2}$ and $y = \sqrt{2}$. Then x - y = 0, which is rational.

- VIII. Let M(p,m) be "Person p has seen the movie m." Write each of the following statements in logical (5) notation, putting in all necessary quantifiers using the sets \mathcal{P} of all people and \mathcal{M} of all movies. If your answer involves a negation, simplify as much as possible.
 - (a) Jeff has never seen a movie.

 $\neg \exists m \in \mathcal{M}, M(\text{Jeff}, m), \text{ which simplifies slightly to } \forall m \in \mathcal{M}, \neg M(\text{Jeff}, m).$

(b) Jack has seen every movie.

 $\forall m \in \mathcal{M}, M(\operatorname{Jack}, m)$

(c) Ellen has seen every movie that Max has seen.

 $\forall m \in \mathcal{M}, (M(\operatorname{Max}, m) \Rightarrow M(\operatorname{Ellen}, m))$

(d) Everyone has seen at least one movie.

 $\forall p \in \mathcal{P}, \exists m \in \mathcal{M}, M(p,m)$

(e) Between the two of them, Jenny and Tom have seen every movie.

 $\forall m \in \mathcal{M}, (M(\text{Jenny}, m) \lor M(\text{Tom}, m))$

IX. Assuming that the universal set is $\mathcal{U} = \mathbb{R}$, prove the statement $\forall x, \exists y, x < y$.

Let x be a real number. Putting y = x + 1, we have x < x + 1 = y.

X. Assuming that the universal set is $\mathcal{U} = \mathbb{R}$, prove (if the statement is true) or disprove (if the statement is (8) false) each of the following statements.

1. $\forall x, (x > 1 \Rightarrow x > 0)$

(3)

Proof: Let x be a real number. Assume that x > 1. Since 1 > 0, it follows that x > 0.

2. $\exists x, (x > 1 \Rightarrow x > 0)$

Proof: Put x = 2. Then 2 > 1 and 2 > 0, so $2 > 1 \Rightarrow 2 > 0$.

3. $\forall x, (x > 0 \Rightarrow x > 1)$

Counterexample: Putting $x = \frac{1}{2}$, we have $\frac{1}{2} > 0$ but $\frac{1}{2} \le 1$.

4. $\exists x, (x > 0 \Rightarrow x > 1)$

Proof: Put x = 2. Then 2 > 0 and 2 > 1, so $2 > 0 \Rightarrow 2 > 1$. A more amusing Proof: Put x = -1. Then -1 > 0 and -1 > 1 are false, so $-1 > 0 \Rightarrow -1 > 1$ is true.

- **XI**. This problem concerns the following statement about integers: "If 3n + 6 is even, then n is even."
- (6) (a) Prove the statement by arguing the contrapositive.

We will argue the contrapositive. Assume that n is odd. Then we can write n = 2k + 1 for some integer k. We calculate that 3n + 6 = 6k + 9 = 2(3k + 4) + 1, so 3n + 6 is odd.

(b) Prove the statement using proof by contradiction.

Suppose for contradiction that there is an integer n for which 3n + 6 is even, but n is odd. We can write n = 2k + 1 for some integer k, and calculate that 3n + 6 = 6k + 9 = 2(3k + 4) + 1. Therefore, 3n + 6 must be odd, contradicting the assumption that it is even.