

**Additional problem 1.**

- (a) Prove that the Riemann integral  $\int_0^\infty \frac{\sin(2\pi x)}{x} dx$  exists (you need not compute its value, which, incidentally, is  $\frac{\pi}{2}$ ).

*Hint:* What do you know about the convergence of alternating series whose terms decrease by absolute value?

- (b) Prove that the function  $f: [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : x \mapsto \frac{\sin(2\pi x)}{x}$  is not Lebesgue integrable.

**Additional problem 2.**

- (a) Let  $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mu)$  be a finite measure space. Prove that  $f_n \rightarrow f$   $\mu$ -a.e. if and only if, for any  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu \left( \left\{ x \in X : \sup_{k \geq n} |f_k(x) - f(x)| \geq \frac{1}{m} \right\} \right) = 0 .$$

Please clearly point out where you use the fact that  $\mu(X) < \infty$ .

*Remark:* Note that this condition is equivalent to

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu \left( \left\{ x \in X : \sup_{k \geq n} |f_k(x) - f(x)| \geq \epsilon \right\} \right) = 0 \quad \text{for any } \epsilon > 0 ,$$

but is more convenient to use because it is easier to work with countable sets.

*Hint:* First establish the equalities

$$\begin{aligned} & \{x \in X : f_n(x) \not\rightarrow f(x) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty\} \\ &= \bigcup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \left\{ x \in X : |f_n(x) - f(x)| \geq \frac{1}{m} \text{ for infinitely many } n \right\} \\ &= \bigcup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left\{ x \in X : \sup_{k \geq n} |f_k(x) - f(x)| \geq \frac{1}{m} \right\} . \end{aligned}$$

- (b) Use your result from part (a) to show that, if  $\mu(X) < \infty$ , the  $\mu$ -almost everywhere convergence implies convergence in measure.
- (c) Give an example of a measure space  $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mu)$  and a sequence of functions  $\{f_n\}$  on  $X$  that converges almost everywhere but not in measure (clearly, the measure of  $X$  must be infinite).

**Additional problem 3.**

Let  $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mu)$  be a measure space.

- (a) Let  $\{g_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  be a sequence of functions  $g_n : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , and  $g : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . How are the sets

$$\{x \in X : g_j(x) \not\rightarrow g(x) \text{ as } j \rightarrow \infty\} ,$$

$$\bigcup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \left\{ x \in X : |g_j(x) - g(x)| \geq \frac{1}{m} \text{ for infinitely many } j \right\} ,$$

$$\bigcup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcap_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcup_{\ell \geq j} \left\{ x \in X : |g_\ell(x) - g(x)| \geq \frac{1}{m} \right\} ,$$

and

$$\bigcup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ x \in X : |g_j(x) - g(x)| \geq \frac{1}{m} \right\}$$

related? Support your answer with brief explanations.

- (b) Prove that a necessary and sufficient condition for the function sequence  $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  to converge to  $f$  in measure is that each subsequence  $\{f_{n_k}\}_{k=1}^\infty \subset \{f_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  contains a subsequence  $\{f_{n_{k_j}}\}_{j=1}^\infty \subset \{f_{n_k}\}_{k=1}^\infty$  such that  $f_{n_{k_j}} \rightarrow f$  a.e. as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ .

*Hint:* The necessity of the condition follows easily from Theorem 2.30.

To prove that the condition is sufficient, choose a subsequence  $\{n_{k_j}\}_j \subset \{n_k\}_k$  such that  $\mu(\{x \in X : |f_{n_k}(x) - f(x)| \geq \frac{1}{2^j}\}) < \frac{1}{2^j}$  for all  $k \geq k_j$ , use some of the facts proved in part (a), and apply the first Borel-Cantelli Lemma (Additional Problem 2 in Homework 3).

**Additional problem 4.**

- (a) Prove that, if  $\mu(X) < \infty$  and  $h : X \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty]$  is a measurable function that takes finite values on a set of full measure, then

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mu(\{x \in X : |h(x)| > k\}) = 0 .$$

*Hint:* Define the sets  $E := \{x \in X : |h(x)| = \infty\}$ , and  $E_k := \{x \in X : |h(x)| > k\}$  for  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , and apply some fundamental properties of measures.

- (b) Give an example of a space  $X$  with  $\mu(X) = \infty$  and a measurable function  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  for which  $\mu(\{x \in X : |h(x)| > k\})$  does not go to zero as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ .
- (c) In Additional Problem 1 of Homework 9 you showed that, if  $f_n \rightarrow f$  in measure and  $g_n \rightarrow g$  in measure, and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  is a constant, then  $\alpha f_n \rightarrow \alpha f$  in measure, and

$f_n + f_n \rightarrow f + g$  in measure. The product of two function sequences, however, does not converge in measure unless some additional condition is imposed.

Prove that, if  $\mu(X) < \infty$ , then  $f_n g_n \rightarrow fg$  in measure.

*Hint:* Start with proving the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} |f_n(x)g_n(x) - f(x)g(x)| &\leq |f_n(x) - f(x)| |g_n(x) - g(x)| \\ &\quad + |f(x)| |g_n(x) - g(x)| + |g(x)| |f_n(x) - f(x)| . \end{aligned}$$

Use this inequality to give an upper bound on  $\mu(\{x : |f_n(x)g_n(x) - f(x)g(x)| \geq \epsilon\})$  in terms of the measures of the set  $\{x : |f_n(x)g_n(x) - f(x)g(x)| \geq \frac{\epsilon}{3}\}$ , and the sets  $\{x : |f(x)| |g_n(x) - g(x)| \geq \frac{\epsilon}{3}\}$  and  $\{x : |g(x)| |f_n(x) - f(x)| \geq \frac{\epsilon}{3}\}$ . Use the result of part (a) to bound the measures of the last two sets.

- (d) Consider the functions  $f_n : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : x \mapsto f_n(x) = x + \frac{1}{n}$ , and  $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : x \mapsto f(x) = x$ . Demonstrate that  $f_n \rightarrow f$  in measure, but  $f_n^2 \not\rightarrow f^2$  in measure. Explain why this does not contradict the fact proved in part (c).

### Additional problem 5.

Let  $\mathcal{E}$  be a collection of subsets of some set  $X$  satisfying the following properties:

- (i)  $\emptyset \in \mathcal{E}$ ;
- (ii) if  $E \in \mathcal{E}$  and  $F \in \mathcal{E}$ , then  $E \cap F \in \mathcal{E}$ ;
- (iii) the complement of each set  $E \in \mathcal{E}$  is a finite disjoint union of sets from  $\mathcal{E}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be the collection of finite disjoint unions of members of  $\mathcal{E}$ . Use induction to prove that  $\mathcal{A}$  is an algebra.

*Remark:* We used this fact in the lectures to show that the collection  $\mathcal{A}$  of all finite disjoint unions of measurable rectangles  $A \times B$  (where  $A \in \mathcal{M}$ ,  $B \in \mathcal{N}$ ) is an algebra; the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by  $\mathcal{A}$  is by definition the product  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{N}$ .