

# Number of Dyck and ballot paths with a given number of “touchdowns” – a combinatorial derivation

Karl Schaettle · Nikola P. Petrov

Received: date / Accepted: date

**Abstract** Please provide an abstract of 150 to 250 words. The abstract should not contain any undefined abbreviations or unspecified references. *Keywords:* Please provide 4 to 6 keywords which can be used for indexing purposes.

**Keywords** keywords

Define a ballot path as a random walk from  $(0, 0)$  to  $(n, h)$  given by steps  $(1, 1)$  and  $(1, -1)$  such that the second coordinate is always nonnegative. Moreover define a Dyck path to be a ballot path such that  $h = 0$ . We desire to find a non-recursive formula for the number of ballot paths ending at  $(n, h)$  which contain  $d$  “touchdowns,” or points  $(x, 0)$  such that  $x \neq 0$ . We define this function to be  $N_{(n,h)}(d)$ .

According to the well-known Ballot Theorem [1], for arbitrary  $n$  and  $h > 0$ , the number of ballot paths such that all points of the path have positive second coordinate except the origin is  $\frac{h}{n} \binom{n}{\frac{n+h}{2}}$ . The main ingredient in the proof of this theorem is the *Reflection Principle*, stating that, if  $n_1, n_2, h_1$ , and  $h_2$  are integers satisfying  $0 \leq n_1 < n_2, h_1 > 0, h_2 > 0$ , then the number of paths from  $(n_1, h_1)$  to  $(n_2, h_2)$  which touch or cross the  $t$ -axis is equal to the number of all paths from  $(n_1, -h_1)$  to  $(n_2, h_2)$ . Then the Ballot Theorem is obtained by This formula is obtained by applying the principle of reflection about the line

---

This research has been partially supported by NSF grant DMS 0807658.

K. Schaettle  
Department of Chemical Engineering, University of Oklahoma, Norman, OK 73019, USA  
E-mail: kschaettle@ou.edu

N. P. Petrov  
Department of Mathematics, University of Oklahoma, Norman, OK 73019, USA; Tel.: +1-405-325-4316, Fax: +1-405-325-7484. E-mail: npetrov@ou.edu

$y = 0$  to find the number of paths which *do* intersect the x-axis nontrivially and subtracting them from the total number of random walks from  $(0, 0)$  to  $(n, h)$  given by steps  $(1, 1)$  and  $(1, -1)$ .

Similarly we reflect about  $y = -1$  to obtain the total number of ballot paths ending at  $(n, h)$ , which is

$$\frac{2 + 2h}{n + h + 2} \binom{n}{\frac{n+h}{2}} = \frac{h + 1}{n + 1} \binom{n + 1}{\frac{n+h}{2} + 1} \quad (1)$$

We establish a general expression for  $N_{(2n,0)}(d)$  by recursion, first finding  $N_{(2n,0)}(1)$ , which is of course the smallest value  $d$  may assume when  $h = 0$  as the last point of the Dyck path is necessarily a touchdown. We note that the  $N_{(2n,0)}(1)$  is equal to the number of Dyck paths of length  $2n - 2$ ; by expressing a Dyck path of length  $2n$  and zero touchdowns as an initial upward step followed by a Dyck path of length  $2n - 2$  and concluded by a downward step, we see a clear bijection between the two quantities. Thus by using the latter part of equation (1) with the proper parameters, we have that

$$N_{(2n,0)}(1) = \frac{1}{2n - 1} \binom{2n - 1}{n} \quad (2)$$

A recursive relationship for  $N_{(2n,0)}(d)$  can thus be obtained by the simple observation that a Dyck path of length  $2n$  and  $d$  touchdowns may be described as the concatenation of a Dyck path of length  $2i$  and 1 touchdown and a Dyck path of length  $(2n - 2i)$  and  $(d - 1)$  touchdowns. Summing over the number of such concatenations gives the recursion:

$$\begin{aligned} N_{(2n,0)}(d) &= \sum_{i=1}^{n-(d-1)} N_{(2i,0)}(1) N_{(2n-2i,0)}(d-1) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n-(d-1)} \frac{1}{2i-1} \binom{2i-1}{i} N_{(2n-2i,0)}(d-1) \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

Where the upper limit of summation is obtained by observing that  $(d - 1)$  touchdowns require a Dyck path of length at least  $2(d - 1)$ . Equation (3) is helpful in verifying the general closed form of  $N_{(2n,0)}(d)$ , and gives us the following surprising result.

**Proposition 1** For  $n > 0$ ,  $N_{(2n,0)}(1) = N_{(2n,0)}(2) = \frac{1}{2n-1} \binom{2n-1}{n}$

*Proof*

$$N_{(2n,0)}(2) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{2i-1} \binom{2i-1}{i} N_{(2n-2i,0)}(1) \quad (4)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{2i-1} \binom{2i-1}{i} \frac{1}{2n-2i-1} \binom{2n-2i-1}{n-i} \quad (5)$$

It turns out that Equation (5) has a very simple closed-form expression if we sum from  $i = 0$  to  $i = n$ , which [3]

$$\sum_{k=0}^n \frac{p+qk}{(a+ck)(b-ck)} \binom{a+ck}{k} \binom{b-ck}{n-k} = \frac{p(a+b-cn) + aqn}{a(a+b)(b-cn)} \binom{a+b}{n} \quad (6)$$

gives as  $\frac{1}{1-n} \binom{2n-2}{n}$ , and thus

$$\begin{aligned} N_{(2n,0)}(2) &= \frac{1}{1-n} \binom{2n-2}{n} - \frac{-2}{2n-1} \binom{2n-1}{n} \\ &= \frac{1}{1-n} \binom{2n-2}{n} + \frac{2}{n-1} \binom{2n-2}{n} \\ &= \frac{1}{n-1} \binom{2n-2}{n} \\ &= \frac{1}{2n-1} \frac{1}{n-1} \frac{(2n-1)!}{n!(n-2)!} \\ &= \frac{1}{2n-1} \binom{2n-1}{n} \end{aligned}$$

Which completes our proof.

Observe now that  $N_{(2n,0)}(1) = \frac{1}{2n-1} \binom{2n-1}{n}$  and  $N_{(2n,0)}(2) = \frac{1}{n-1} \binom{2n-2}{n} = \frac{2}{2n-2} \binom{2n-2}{n}$ . This inspires us towards conjecture.

**Theorem 1** For  $n > 0$  and  $d \leq n$ ,  $N_{(2n,0)}(d) = \frac{d}{2n-d} \binom{2n-d}{n}$ .

The proof is purely algebraic once one applies the following lemma, which in turn comes fairly naturally from Equation (3) and Proposition 1.

**Lemma 1**  $N_{(2n,0)}(d) = N_{(2n,0)}(d-1) - N_{(2n-2,0)}(d-2)$

*Proof*

$$N_{(2n,0)}(d) = \sum_{i=2}^{n-(d-2)} N_{(2i,0)}(2) N_{(2n-2i,0)}(d-2)$$

which is obtained by considering a Dyck path of length  $2n$  and  $d$  touchdowns to be a concatenation of a Dyck path of length  $2i$ , 2 touchdowns and a Dyck path of length  $2n-2i$ ,  $d-2$  touchdowns. By Proposition 1, we then have

$$\begin{aligned} N_{(2n,0)}(d) &= \sum_{i=2}^{n-(d-2)} N_{(2i,0)}(1) N_{(2n-2i,0)}(d-2) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n-(d-2)} N_{(2i,0)}(1) N_{(2n-2i,0)}(d-2) - N_{(2,0)}(1) N_{(2n-2,0)}(d-2) \\ &= N_{(2n,0)}(d-1) - N_{(2n-2,0)}(d-2) \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

noting that the final step is simply an application of Equation (3) and the observation that  $N_{(2,0)}(1) = 1$ , which completes the proof of the lemma.

To prove Theorem 1, we begin with the fact that it holds for  $d = 1$  and  $d = 2$ , and proceed by strong induction on  $d$ . Suppose the Theorem to be true for all positive integers strictly less than some  $d$ . We wish to show, then, that  $N_{(2n,0)}(d) = \frac{d}{2n-d} \binom{2n-d}{n}$ . By Lemma 1 we have the recursion:

$$\begin{aligned}
N_{(2n,0)}(d) &= N_{(2n,0)}(d-1) - N_{(2n-2,0)}(d-2) \\
&= \binom{2n-d+1}{n} \frac{d-1}{2n-d+1} - \binom{2n-d}{n-1} \frac{d-2}{2n-d} \\
&= \frac{(2n-d)!(2n-d+1)}{n!(n-d)!(n-d+1)} \frac{d-1}{2n-d+1} - \frac{(2n-d)!}{n! \frac{1}{n} (n-d)!(n-d+1)} \frac{d-2}{2n-d} \\
&= \binom{2n-d}{n} \left( \frac{d-1}{n-d+1} - \frac{n(d-2)}{(2n-d)(n-d+1)} \right) \\
&= \binom{2n-d}{n} \frac{2nd - d^2 - 2n + d - nd + 2n}{(n-d+1)(2n-d)} \\
&= \binom{2n-d}{n} \frac{d(n-d+1)}{(n-d+1)(2n-d)} \\
&= \binom{2n-d}{n} \frac{d}{2n-d}
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, we use the general formulas of  $N_{(2n,0)}(d)$  and  $N_{(n,h)}(0)$  to obtain a non-recursive formula for  $N_{(n,h)}(d)$ , where  $h \neq 0$ ,  $d \neq 0$ . We observe that a ballot path of length  $n$ , final height  $h$  with  $d$  touchdowns can be described as the concatenation of a Dyck path of length  $2i$  and  $d$  touchdowns with a ballot path of length  $n-2i$ , ending height  $h$  and 0 touchdowns. In other words, for  $h \neq 0$  and  $d \neq 0$ ,

$$N_{(n,h)}(d) = \sum_{i=d}^{\frac{n-h}{2}} N_{(2i,0)}(d) N_{(n-2i,h)}(0) \quad (8)$$

$$= \sum_{i=d}^{\frac{n-h}{2}} \frac{d}{2i-d} \binom{2i-d}{i} \frac{h}{n-2i} \binom{n-2i}{\frac{n-h}{2}-i} \quad (9)$$

where the lower limit of summation comes from the fact that a Dyck path with  $d$  touchdowns must be of length at least  $2d$ , and similarly the upper limit ensures that the ballot path of ending height  $h$  with 0 touchdowns is at least  $h$  steps long.

Thus by using Equation ???, we can now write a closed-form expression for  $Z_n(h)$ , though it adopts a different form in the case  $h = 0$ . For  $h \neq 0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
Z_n(h) &= \sum_{j=0}^{\frac{n-h}{2}} N_{(n,h)}(j) \kappa^j \\
&= \frac{h}{n} \binom{n}{\frac{n+h}{2}} + \sum_{j=1}^{\frac{n-h}{2}} N_{(n,h)}(j) \kappa^j \\
&= \frac{h}{n} \binom{n}{\frac{n+h}{2}} + \sum_{j=1}^{\frac{n-h}{2}} \kappa^j \sum_{i=j}^{\frac{n-h}{2}} \frac{j}{2i-j} \binom{2i-j}{i} \frac{h}{n-2i} \binom{n-2i}{\frac{n-h}{2}-i}
\end{aligned}$$

And of course we also have

$$\begin{aligned}
Z_{2n}(0) &= \sum_{j=1}^n N_{(2n,0)}(j) \kappa^j \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^n \binom{2n-j}{n} \frac{j}{2n-j} \kappa^j
\end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

Equation 12 seems a bit gruesome, but it is far easier to compute for large  $n$ , say  $n > 1000$ , than the equation given by Brak, Owczarek, and Rechnitzer [2]. It is also much easier to approximate, as it involves nothing more complicated than binomial coefficients, for which there are a plethora of approximation methods.

## References

1. W. Feller, *An Introduction to Probability Theory and Its Applications*, Vol. I, 3rd edn. (Wiley, New York, 1968), Sec. III.1
2. R. Brak, A. L. Owczarek, A. Rechnitzer, *J. Math. Chem.* 45, 49–57 (2009)
3. А. П. Прудников, Ю. А. Брычков, О. И. Маричев, *Интегралы и ряды. Том 1: Элементарные функции.* (Russian) [Integrals and series. Vol. 1: Elementary functions.] (Nauka, Moscow, 1981), Section 4.2.6, Equation (21), p. 624
4. O. Sofrygin, Counting the number of Dyck paths according to different types of parameters, <http://people.brandeis.edu/gessel/47a/sofrygin.pdf>
5. E. Deutsch, A bijection on Dyck paths and its consequences, *Discrete Mathematics* 179, 253–256 (1998)
6. E. Deutsch, Dyck path enumeration, *Discrete mathematics* 204, 167–202 (1999) <sup>1</sup>
7. N. Dershowitz, S. Zaks, Enumerations of ordered trees, *Discrete Mathematics* 31, 9–28 (1980) <sup>2</sup>
8. D. Knuth, *The Art of Computer Programming*, Vol. 1: Fundamental Algorithms, 3rd edn. (Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1997), Sec. 1.2.6, Example 4 (pp. 62, 63)

<sup>1</sup> His equation (6.22) is our formula for the number of Dyck paths; he says that this result can be found in Theorem 4 and Corollary 4.1 of [7].

<sup>2</sup> Theorem 1 is Narayana’s result; an alternative proof of it in terms of lattice paths is given in the Appendix.

9. R. L. Graham, D. E. Knuth, O. Patashnik, *Concrete Mathematics* (Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1989), Eqn. (5.63)
10. T. V. Narayana, *Lattice path combinatorics, with statistical applications* (Univ of Toronto Press, 1979)